

**RULES OF THE 2006
INTERNATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL
MOOT COURT COMPETITION**

International Finals



COLLEGE OF LAW

Florida's First Law School

**The International Qualifying Rounds will be held on
November 10-11, 2006
at
Stetson University College of Law
Gulfport, Florida**

IN COOPERATION WITH THE

American Bar Association
Section of Environment, Energy and Resources
and
Section of International Law
International Environmental Law Committees

Stetson Advocacy Board

Stetson University Institute for Biodiversity Law and Policy

Important Dates

Problem distributed	May 22, 2006**
Memorial due	October 17, 2006 (must be received by this date)
Protests concerning memorials	October 31, 2006 (5:00 p.m. Eastern time)
Preliminary Rounds	November 10, 2006
Distinguished Lecture and International Dinner for participants, coaches, and guests	November 10, 2006
Fourth Preliminary, Semifinal, and Final Rounds	November 11, 2006
Awards Reception	November 11, 2006

Check in will begin at 7:15 a.m. on November 10 and end at 7:45 a.m. that day. The **mandatory first event**, an orientation meeting for coaches and competitors, will begin at **8:00 a.m. on Friday, November 10, in the Mann Lounge**. At least one representative of each team must attend. All members are invited to attend. A light breakfast will be served.

The Final Round will start at approximately 2:00 p.m. on November 11. The Awards Reception will last until approximately 5:30 p.m. that day. Tampa International Airport is about 30-40 minutes from campus.

All teams are encouraged to attend the Awards Reception, as we will be giving many awards and also will have items for all participants and coaches.

****The problem will be made available on Stetson's Web site, at:
<www.law.stetson.edu>. Select the "International Programs" button, then the
2006 International Environmental Moot Court link.**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
A. COMPETITION COMMITTEE AND FORUM	1
1. "Competition Committee" Defined.....	1
2. Powers of the Competition Committee	1
3. Participation of Competition Committee Members.....	1
4. Questions and Inquiries	1
5. Contact with the Competition Committee.....	2
6. Forum.....	2
B. REGIONAL AND OTHER QUALIFYING ROUNDS	2
1. Teams Must Compete in Regional or Other Qualifying Round.....	2
2. Australasian Rounds	2
3. North and South Indian Rounds	3
4. Irish/UK Rounds.....	3
5. International Qualifying Rounds	3
C. TEAM COMPOSITION	4
1. "Team" Defined.....	4
2. Qualifications of Team Members.....	4
3. Replacing Team Members.....	5
4. Coaches and Advisors	5
D. SCORING AND AWARDS	5
1. Breakdown	5
2. Awards.....	5
E. MEMORIALS (BRIEFS)	6
1. Sides	6
2. Problem	6
3. Format.....	7
4. Number and Service on Competition Committee	8
5. Copies of Memorials.....	8
6. Service on Other Teams.....	9
7. No Changes After Submission	9
8. Grading	9
9. English.....	9
10. Use of Recycled Paper Encouraged.....	10

F.	ORAL ARGUMENT PROCEDURES	10
1.	Time and Place	10
2.	Time Per Team and Rebuttal Time.....	10
3.	Anonymity	11
4.	Contact with Judges.....	11
5.	Judges and Judging Criteria	11
6.	Critiques	12
7.	Announcements.....	12
8.	Stetson’s Participation	12
9.	English; Interpreters; Practice Round for Foreign Schools.....	13
10.	Visual Aids	13
G.	SEQUENCE OF ORAL ROUNDS/ADVANCEMENT	13
1.	Preliminary Rounds	13
2.	Quarterfinal Round	14
3.	Semifinal Round	14
4.	Final Round	15
H.	OUTSIDE ASSISTANCE.....	15
1.	Assistance on Memorials.....	15
2.	Affidavit	15
3.	Assistance on Oral Argument	16
4.	No Collaboration with Other Teams.....	16
I.	CHALLENGES AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION	16
1.	Memorials and Pre-Oral Argument Challenges.....	16
2.	Oral Arguments and Other Competition Challenges	17
3.	Conflicts of Interest	17
4.	Penalties.....	17
5.	Waiver	17
J.	MISCELLANEOUS	17
1.	Use of Problem	17
2.	Scores.....	18
3.	Professionalism	18
4.	Costs	18
	ADDENDUM A: AFFIDAVIT	19

CAMPUS MAP ATTACHED AS LAST PAGE.

A.
COMPETITION COMMITTEE AND FORUM

1. “Competition Committee” Defined:

The Competition Committee is comprised of Professor Royal C. Gardner and Associate Dean John F. Cooper of Stetson University College of Law, and other members who may be appointed to serve. Members of the Competition Committee will not be actively affiliated with any team registered to participate in the Competition.

2. Powers of the Competition Committee:

- a. The Competition Committee has the sole discretion to enforce all Competition rules.
- b. The Competition Committee has the sole discretion to interpret the Competition rules. No interpretation of the Competition rules is valid unless obtained in writing from the Competition Committee.
- c. The Competition Committee has the sole discretion to answer questions about the Record and all other papers that constitute the Problem.
- d. The Competition Committee has the sole power to resolve any dispute that may arise during the Competition.
- e. The Competition Committee has the power to change or supplement the Competition rules, should any changes or supplements become necessary. Changes and supplements will be communicated to participating teams as quickly as possible.

3. Participation of Competition Committee Members:

Unless each affected team consents, members of the Competition Committee will not judge memorials or oral arguments.

- 4. Questions and Inquiries:** Any questions or inquiries about the Competition should be directed to the Competition Committee **in writing**. To the extent a question or inquiry affects other teams, a copy of each written question and answer will be circulated to all participating teams.

5. **Contact with the Competition Committee:** The Competition Committee may be reached as follows:

By mail: International Environmental Moot Court Competition
Attn: Professor Royal C. Gardner
Stetson University College of Law
1401 61st Street South
Gulfport, Florida 33707

By fax: International Environmental Moot Court Competition
Attn: Professor Royal C. Gardner
727-347-3030

By e-mail: gardner@law.stetson.edu

6. **Forum:**

The problem will be set in the mock International Court of Justice (ICJ). To the extent that the Competition rules are silent, ICJ rules will apply. If an ICJ rule conflicts with a Competition rule, the Competition rule will prevail.

B.

REGIONAL AND OTHER QUALIFYING ROUNDS

1. **Teams Must Compete in Regional or Other Qualifying Round:**

A team must compete in a regional or other qualifying round before advancing to the International Finals. Regional Rounds will be held in North India, South India, and Ireland, and an International Qualifying Round will be held in the United States before the November 10-11 competition. Memorials submitted from Regional Rounds will be re-graded for the October competition. Any disputes arising out of Regional Rounds shall be resolved by the Regional Coordinators.

2. **Australasian Rounds:**

There will not be separate Australasian Rounds in 2006. Accordingly, teams from this region must compete in the International Qualifying Rounds that will be held November 8-9 at Stetson's Tampa Campus.

3. North and South Indian Rounds:

Two teams from the North Indian Rounds (one applicant and one respondent), and two teams from the South Indian Rounds (one applicant and one respondent) may advance to the International Finals. The Regional Coordinator of the North and South Indian Rounds is Vinod Surana of Surana & Surana (secretaryone@lawindia.com).

4. Irish/UK Rounds:

Two teams from the Irish/UK Rounds (one applicant and one respondent) may advance to the International Finals. The Regional Coordinator of the Irish/UK Rounds is T P Kennedy, Director of Education, Law Society of Ireland (tp.kennedy@lawsociety.ie).

5. International Qualifying Rounds:

Six teams from the International Qualifying Rounds, to be held November 8-9 in Tampa, Florida, may advance to the International Finals.

- a. Teams from North America, Central America, and South America are eligible to participate in the International Qualifying Rounds. Teams from outside of North America, Central America, and South America may participate in International Qualifying Rounds if there is no other regional round in or near their country. Decisions on whether a team must participate in a regional round or in the International Qualifying Rounds shall be made by the Competition Committee.
- b. Except as provided below, no more than four teams from one country may advance to the International Finals. In the event that one country has the top six teams in the International Qualifying Rounds, only the top four teams will be invited to participate in the International Finals. The final two slots will go to the highest-ranking applicant or respondent team, as appropriate, from a different country or countries.
- c. More than four teams from one country may advance to the International Finals if necessary to ensure a full field of twelve teams. For example, if a team advancing from a regional round is unable to attend the International Finals, the Competition Committee may invite a team that did not advance from the International Qualifying Rounds to the International Finals. The decision will be based on whether

an applicant or respondent team is needed and the relative rankings of the teams under consideration.

C.
TEAM COMPOSITION

1. “Team” Defined:

- a. A “team” consists of two or three persons, each of whom satisfies the criteria listed in Section C, paragraph 2.
- b. No person may be a member of more than one team, nor may any member of a team discuss the problem or related substantive matters with any member of a different team.
- c. Only two team members may present arguments or otherwise participate in any particular round (all three may argue, but only two in any argument).
- d. All team members may participate in any other aspect of the Competition, including practice rounds, research, and drafting.
- e. Only two team members may sit at counsel table during a round.

2. Qualifications of Team Members:

- a. No team member may hold a law degree from a U.S. law school.
- b. Each team member must be enrolled in a full-time or part-time Juris Doctorate or equivalent program in the law school they represent. The Competition Committee will determine on an individual basis whether potential team members from countries other than the United States meet these criteria.
- c. The Competition Committee has determined that students participating in legal professional training programs such as the Law Societies of Ireland, Northern Ireland, Scotland, England, and Wales are qualified to be team members.
- d. Except as noted above, members may not hold or be enrolled in any graduate legal program, such as an LL.M. or S.J.D. program, or the equivalent.

3. Replacing Team Members:

No team member may be replaced after the team's memorial has been written or substantially written, except with the express written consent of the Competition Committee, which will require a showing of good cause.

4. Coaches and Advisors:

- a. Each team may have one or more coaches.
- b. If a school enters more than one team in the Competition, each team must have a different coach.
- c. Nothing in this rule prevents non-coach, practice-round judges from seeing different teams; however, no person may share substantive information from one team with another team. For example, if an individual serves as a practice judge for one team, he or she may later serve as a practice judge for another team, but may not tell one team what the other team argued during its presentation.

**D.
SCORING AND AWARDS**

1. Breakdown:

- a. Except for the Final Round, scores for each round will be determined by counting the team's memorial score as one-third of the total and oral score as two-thirds of the total.
- b. The oral score will be determined by a panel of judges that does not know the teams' memorial scores.
- c. In the Final Round, the winner will be determined based on the decision of the judges, who will not consider the memorial score.

2. Awards:

- a. The Competition will conclude with the announcement of:
 1. the Competition Champion,
 2. runner-up,
 3. semifinalists,

4. best overall memorial,
 5. runner-up memorial,
 6. third place memorial,
 7. at least the top five oralists in the Preliminary Rounds, and
 8. best individual oralist in the Final Round.
- b. To be eligible for the best individual oralist in the Preliminary Rounds, a competitor must argue at least three times. For those who argue four times, the low round will be dropped.
 - c. The same individual may not win both best oralist awards.

E.
MEMORIALS (BRIEFS)

1. Sides :

- a. Each team must submit **either** an Applicant or Respondent memorial, as determined by the coordinators of the Regional or International Qualifying Rounds.
- b. The team will then represent the side for which they write the memorial in all oral rounds.

2. Problem:

- a. The hypothetical facts on which the Competition is based will be drafted by a person or group of persons knowledgeable in the field of international environmental law.
- b. The person(s) who drafts the problem will also prepare – or supervise the preparation of – a bench memorial that will be provided to persons who judge oral rounds.
- c. The bench memorial will not be provided to persons who judge the memorials.

3. **Format:**

- a. The memorial may not exceed **6500 words** on 8-1/2 x 11-inch pages (or, for foreign teams, the standard paper used in the courts of the team's country), with one-inch margins at top, bottom, left, and right. **Footnotes DO count toward the word limit.** Note: Most word processing programs can provide you with a word count.
- b. The memorial must be typed and double-spaced. A team does not violate the double-spacing requirement by including written material properly that is single spaced, such as a block quote.
- c. Each memorial must include the following sections, which **DO** count toward the word limit: statement of facts, summary of argument, argument with point headings, and conclusion.
- d. Each memorial must also include the following sections, which **DO NOT** count toward the word limit: front and back cover pages, table of contents, questions presented, statement of jurisdiction, index of authorities, signature block, affidavit (see Addendum A), and appropriate appendices.
 1. **Limit on Use of Authorities:** Teams may not use – in memorials or oral argument – any case issued, order decided, or actions that affect the status of any treaty (for example, signature, accession, ratification, entry into force) after May 22, 2006.
 2. **Citations:** All citations must conform to the most current version of either *The Bluebook: A Uniform System of Citation* or the *ALWD Citation Manual* (Aspen L. & Bus.). Foreign teams should make a good effort to comply with proper citation format.
 3. **Cover Color:** Each memorial cover (memorials should have front and back covers) must be the following color:

Applicant:	Blue
Respondent:	Red
 4. **Identification :** To facilitate anonymous grading, names of the team members and the school they represent may appear only on the affidavit **that must be submitted with the original memorial (see Addendum A). The affidavit should be clipped to the outside of the original memorial; it should not be bound within the memorial. Do not include the names of team members or the affidavit in the copies.** Names of team members and the school must not appear within the memorial itself. **Each team will**

be assigned an identification number that is to appear in the uppermost right-hand corner of its front memorial cover.

4. Number and Service on Competition Committee:

- a. U.S. teams must submit six copies (this number includes the original) of their memorial to the Competition Committee.
- b. Foreign teams must submit two copies (the original and one copy) of their memorial to the Competition Committee.
- c. **In addition**, each U.S. and foreign team must send a copy of the memorial to gardner@law.stetson.edu as an e-mail attachment in Word or WordPerfect format. The content of the e-mail attachment should not differ from the content of the hard copies submitted to the Competition Committee (however, the affidavit should not be attached). Do not send the memorial in PDF.
- d. The memorials and e-mail attachments all must be **received** by the Competition Committee no later than 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) on October 17, 2006. The Committee will then post each memorial on the Stetson Web site (www.law.stetson.edu; select "International Programs," then select "International Environmental Moot Court Competition"). We expect all memorials to be posted on the Stetson Web site no later than October 19, 2006.
- e. All memorials sent to the Competition Committee should be addressed to:

Professor Royal C. Gardner
International Environmental Moot Court Competition
Stetson University College of Law
1401 61st Street South
Gulfport, Florida 33707

E-mail: gardner@law.stetson.edu

5. Copies of Memorials:

- a. Copies of the bound, printed memorial must be identical reproductions of the original memorial.
- b. Copies should be reproduced by any duplicating or copying process that produces a clear black image on 8-1/2 x 11-inch white paper (or, for foreign teams, the standard paper used in the team's country).

- c. All copies are to be bound at the left margin in a manner that allows the memorial to lie flat when open (such as spiral or comb binding; please do not use staples).
- d. Carbon copies may not be submitted.

6. Service on Other Teams:

Teams should not send copies of their memorial to any other team. As noted in paragraph 5 above, the Competition Committee will post each memorial on the Stetson Web site.

7. No Changes After Submission:

Once the memorials have been submitted to the Competition Committee, or to any regional competition, no revisions, supplements, or additions will be allowed. In addition, no written material outside the memorial will be accepted.

8. Grading:

- a. Each memorial will be scored by a panel of judges knowledgeable in the areas of international and/or environmental law.
- b. Individuals who judge the memorials will not judge the oral rounds.
- c. The scores will be averaged and that average will be the memorial score used throughout the Competition. However, the memorial score will not be used to determine the Final Round winner.
- d. Memorials will be graded for both content and style. The factors memorial judges will consider include, but are not limited to: legal substance; style; grammar; spelling; form; use of authority and extent of research; issue analysis; logic and reasoning; clarity and organization; persuasiveness; thoroughness; and compliance with Competition rules.
- e. Memorial scores will not be released until after the Competition is completed. At that point, the Competition Committee will release a list that reflects each school's ranking.
- f. The minimum score on any memorial will be 60.

9. English:

Each memorial must be submitted in English.

10. Use of Recycled Paper Encouraged:

In keeping with the subject matter of the Competition, the Competition Committee encourages the use of recycled paper when preparing and submitting memorials.

**F.
ORAL ARGUMENT PROCEDURES**

1. Time and Place:

- a. All oral arguments will be held on the Stetson University College of Law campus in Gulfport, Florida, on November 10-11, 2006.
- b. Courtroom assignments will be provided upon arrival.
- c. Stetson has several mock courtrooms, all designed for appellate argument. Depending on the number of participants, some classrooms and seminar rooms may also be used.
- d. Three Preliminary Rounds will be held on November 10. We anticipate that the fourth Preliminary round on November 11 will begin at approximately 9:00 a.m.
- e. Please have one team representative check in and pick up important Competition materials no later than 7:45 a.m. on November 10 at the Competition Headquarters, which is located in the Faculty Conference Room, which is located on the first floor of the administration building, adjacent to the horseshoe drive in the front entrance to the law school.
- f. A map of campus is attached to the end of these rules. Directional signs will be posted the morning of the Competition.

2. Time Per Team and Rebuttal Time:

- a. Each oral round will be limited to sixty minutes; each team will have thirty minutes.
- b. Teams representing the Applicant in a round may reserve up to five minutes of their time for rebuttal by (1) informing the timekeeper before the round how much rebuttal time will be reserved and the time breakdown for each team member, **and** (2) requesting rebuttal time from the presiding judge at the start of Applicant's argument.
- c. Only one team member may argue on rebuttal; either participating member may give rebuttal.

- d. Previously-reserved rebuttal time may be waived by the Applicant following the close of Respondent's argument. However, the Applicant may not otherwise reallocate rebuttal time once the round begins.
- e. Sur-rebuttal by the Respondent will not be allowed.
- f. Each participating team member must intend to speak for at least ten minutes (in addition to rebuttal time).
- g. Nothing in this rule requires a 50/50 split of time between co-counsel.

3. Anonymity:

- a. Although counsel may introduce themselves to the tribunal in the usual manner, the team's law-school affiliation may not be mentioned at any time before, during, or **after** the oral argument (because some judges may preside in more than one round).
- b. Further, all team members, coaches, advisors, and observers must refrain from identifying a team's school at any time and in any manner, including, but not limited to, wearing any identifying items, such as school clothing, patches, or pins or carrying identifying material (such as a notebook with a school logo).
- c. Each team will be provided with an "Applicant" or "Respondent" table card that also contains the school's team number. The card should be placed on counsel table facing the judges at the beginning of the round and should remain on the table throughout the round.

4. Contact with Judges:

- a. All team members and individuals affiliated with a team are prohibited from speaking with the judges before a round.
- b. Also, since individuals may judge more than one round, until a team is eliminated from the Competition, team members and individuals affiliated with the team should not speak with judges about any substantive matters or teams' identifications.

5. Judges and Judging Criteria:

- a. Barring unforeseen circumstances, each round will be judged by at least three persons.
- b. Judges will be provided with a copy of the bench memorial and the problem.

- c. Oral argument judges will NOT be provided with copies of the participants' memorials.
- d. Each judge will score each participating team member; the judges' scores for that round will be added to determine the team's oral-argument score for a round.
- e. The scores of all judges will be averaged to determine the oral-argument portion of a team's score.
- f. Each judge will receive a grading form outlining the factors to be considered during the judging process; the grading form will also identify what excellent, average, and below average scores should be in each category.
- g. The factors to be considered include, but are not limited to: poise and courtroom manner; analysis, organization, and clarity; thoroughness; control of argument; persuasiveness; professionalism; response to questions; and knowledge of facts and controlling law.

6. Critiques:

Each judge will be requested to provide a short oral critique at the end of each round. Judges' score sheets will not be released until after the Competition.

7. Announcements:

- a. During the Preliminary Rounds, the winner of each round will be announced at the conclusion of that round.
- b. After all Preliminary Rounds have been completed, the four teams advancing to the Semifinal Round will be announced.
- c. The procedures used in the Preliminary Rounds also will apply to the Semifinal Round.
- d. The winner of the Final Round will be announced during the Awards Reception.

8. Stetson's Participation:

- a. Stetson may enter one team in the Competition, should it advance from the International Qualifying Rounds.

- b. The Stetson team will write a memorial and participate in the Preliminary Rounds. If its scores so dictate, it may participate in the Semifinal Round.
- c. However, a Stetson team may not advance past the Semifinal Round, regardless of its won-loss record or ranking.
- d. Stetson students will be eligible to receive the Best Memorial and the Best Preliminary Round Oralists awards, should their scores so justify.

9. **English; Interpreters; Practice Round for Foreign Teams:**

All oral arguments will be conducted in English. Stetson will attempt to provide students to provide some language assistance to non-English-speaking teams. Such assistance will be limited to translating words or phrases on an as-needed basis. Stetson may host one practice round for foreign teams that will focus primarily on aspects of appellate advocacy, not substance. Any practice round will be held before the start of rounds on November 10.

10. **Visual Aids:**

Visual aids and other similar devices may not be used during oral argument.

G.
SEQUENCE OF ORAL ROUNDS/ADVANCEMENT

1. **Preliminary Rounds:**

- a. Four Preliminary Rounds will be held.
- b. Each team will argue in each Preliminary Round.
- c. During the Preliminary Rounds, each team will represent the SAME side in each round (e.g., teams that wrote for the Applicant will argue for the Applicant in each round).
- d. During the first two Preliminary Rounds, teams will be power-protected based on memorial score (higher memorial scores compete against lower memorial scores).
- e. During the last two Preliminary Rounds, teams will be power-matched based on their record in the first two Preliminary Rounds (highest-ranked Applicant team competes against highest-ranked Respondent team, etc.).
- f. ***We will endeavor (but cannot promise) to make the schedules for the first two rounds available the evening before the competition; we will***

post that information on the door to the Competition Headquarters.

- g. Each team will be scored on a combination of its average memorial score and average oral score (1/3 memorial and 2/3 oral).
- h. Each team's score will be compared to its opponent's score in that round, and the differential determined.
- l. When determining which teams advance, Applicant teams will be judged against other Applicant teams; Respondent teams will be judged against other Respondent teams.
- j. Given that parameter, the teams with the best won-lost records will advance to the Semifinal Round.
- k. In the event of a tie in won-loss records, the team winning the highest percentage of judges' ballots during the four Preliminary Rounds will advance.
- l. If a tie occurs on ballots, the team with the highest positive point differential over its opponents during the four Preliminary Rounds will advance.
- m. The Competition Committee will not change pairings simply because teams from the same school are scheduled to argue against one another.

2. Quarterfinal Round:

Because there will be four Preliminary Rounds in 2006, there will not be a Quarterfinal Round.

3. Semifinal Round:

- a. The two highest-ranking Applicant teams and the two highest-ranking Respondent teams from the Preliminary Rounds will advance to the Semifinal Round.
- b. The highest-ranking Applicant team will argue against the lowest-ranking Respondent team.
- c. The highest-ranking Respondent team will argue against the lowest-ranking Applicant team.
- d. One Applicant team and one Respondent team will advance to the finals, based on memorial scores and oral scores earned during the Semifinal Round. Advancement will be determined in the manner described in

paragraphs G(1)(g)-(m).

4. Final Round:

- a. The top Applicant and the top Respondent team will meet in the Final Round.
- b. The winner of the Final Round will be determined solely on the basis of oral presentation in the Final Round. The judges will caucus to determine the winning team and the best oralist in the Final Round. The judges are not obligated to use score sheets.
- c. The winner of the Final Round will be designated the Competition Champion.

**H.
OUTSIDE ASSISTANCE**

1. Assistance on Memorials:

- a. A team may receive only the following assistance on the memorial:
 1. Team members may discuss with their coach and with others affiliated with their school (as long as other rules contained herein are not violated) general principles of international environmental law.
 2. The coach may provide general guidance on the overall organization of the brief, but may not assist with the actual writing and may not edit the paper or correct citation format.
 3. Teams from non-English-speaking countries may seek assistance within their schools regarding English grammar and spelling.
- b. A team may hold oral practice rounds before its memorial is submitted to the Competition Committee or to a regional competition.

2. Affidavit:

- a. Each team member must sign a copy of the enclosed affidavit, which must be submitted with the original memorial to the Competition Committee.
- b. By signing the affidavit and submitting a memorial to the Competition, each team member certifies that the memorial has been prepared in accordance with the Competition rules, and that it represents the work

product solely of such team's members.

- c. A blank affidavit is attached as Addendum A.

3. Assistance on Oral Argument:

A team may be assisted in the preparation of its oral argument, except as limited by part 4 of Section H.

4. No Collaboration with Other Teams:

- a. No member or coach of any team still eligible to participate or actually participating in the Competition may attend any practice or argument of another team or receive information from any person who has attended such practice or argument.
- b. In addition, while a team is still active in the Competition, no team member, coach, or other affiliated person may "scout" other active teams.
- c. If a school sends two teams, and one team is eliminated but the other is still active, both schools will then be treated as one team, for purposes of this rule only.

I.

CHALLENGES AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION

1. Memorials and Pre-Oral Argument Challenges:

- a. Any complaint or challenge of a memorial or any other pre-oral argument matter must be submitted in writing to the Competition Committee no later than 5:00 p.m. Eastern Time on October 31, 2006.
- b. Should the allegedly offensive conduct occur within one week of the first oral argument, the complaint should be submitted within twenty-four hours of its discovery.
- c. The complaint or challenge should be directed to the Competition Committee and should specify in as much detail as possible the nature of the complaint or challenge. No other person should be copied on the complaint or challenge.
- d. After reviewing the complaint or challenge, the Competition Committee will, if necessary, contact other affected teams and issue a ruling.
- e. The ruling of the Competition Committee will be final and may not be

appealed.

2. Oral Arguments and Other Competition Challenges:

- a. Complaints or challenges concerning any oral argument or conduct during the Competition must be reported to the Competition Committee within ten minutes of the conclusion of the round in which the allegedly offensive conduct occurs.
- b. Conclusion of the round, for purposes of this rule, will be the end of the Applicant's rebuttal period.
- c. Complaints or challenges that occur during the Competition that do not relate to a particular oral argument should be reported to the Competition Committee as soon as possible, but in no event later than fifteen minutes before the next-scheduled oral argument round.

3. Conflicts of Interest:

A judge's alleged conflict of interest should be reported to the Competition Committee before that particular round commences. Otherwise, the conflict is deemed waived.

4. Penalties:

Penalties may range from warnings, to point deductions, to disqualification, depending on the nature and severity of the offense. Multiple offenses by a single team will warrant more severe penalties.

5. Waiver:

Failure to comply with the procedures in Section H will waive the complaint or challenge.

J.

MISCELLANEOUS

1. Use of Problem:

- a. The problem for this Competition may not be used by any participating school, for any reason, including intraschool competitions, without the express written consent of the Competition Committee. The Competition Committee has granted permission for the problem to be used in the North Indian Rounds, South Indian Rounds, Irish/UK Rounds, and the International Qualifying Rounds to select teams to participate in the International Finals.

- b. The Competition Committee will not grant any team permission to use the current year's problem to select that school's Competition team.

2. Scores:

Scores will not be made available, either orally or in writing, until the Competition is completed. After the Competition, the Competition Committee will provide scores and/or rankings to each school's designated representative.

3. Professionalism:

All teams should conduct themselves ethically and with professionalism.

4. Costs:

All costs associated with the Competition should be borne by the schools of individual team members or by individual team members. Stetson will not reimburse participants for costs associated with the Competition.

ADDENDUM A:

AFFIDAVIT

We have read the Rules of the International Environmental Moot Court Competition. Our memorial submitted was prepared in accordance with the Competition Rules; we have not received any unauthorized assistance. Please print each team member's name under the signature, as you would like the name to appear on participation certificates.

School: _____

Team Members: _____

(Print clearly) _____

Coaches: _____

(Please print your names as you wish them to appear on a certificate)

Signatures _____

of team _____

members: _____

Date: _____

Memorial Word
Count: _____

Memorial Number: _____

Campus Map Link: <http://www.law.stetson.edu/general/campusmap.htm>